

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/055,673		01/22/2002	Peter Pal Varga	T9376.DIV1	9282	
20449	7590	02/26/2003				
	KARL R CANNON				EXAMINER	
PO BOX 1909 SANDY, UT 84091				WILLSE, DAVID H		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3738	· -	
				DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
. 40	10/055,673	VARGA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dave Willse	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 							
Status	January 2002						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	is action is non-final.						
,		prosecution as to the merits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-31 and 44-62</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-31 and 44-62</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-932) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Language and the second							

Application/Control Number: 10/055,673

[^]Art Unit: 3738

The Information Disclosure Statement of January 22, 2002, will be evaluated once the file of parent application Serial No. 09/592,072 becomes available to the examiner.

The amendment filed January 22, 2002, is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: A spacer 10 made of bone is not believed to be indicated in the original disclosure of the parent application, nor is the newly revised scope of the term "nonporous" supported, particularly in view of the statement that "[t]he spacing member includes an external, non-porous, concavo-convex contour" (page 8, lines 4-6, of the specification, emphasis added). Other added statements are likewise not believed to have support, and the Applicant is urged to reinstate the original paragraph on page 14, lines 1-13, of the specification. The Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,673

'Art Unit: 3738

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 26-28, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kuslich et al., US 5,720,748: Figures 53, 53A, 62, and 62A; column 11, lines 43-50; column 13, lines 28-49; etc.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuslich et al., US 5,720,748. Interchanging male and female threaded elements (Figure 53A) would have been an obvious reversal of parts (*In re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167).

Claims 26, 27, 30, and 44-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Aesculap, DE 299 01 611 U1. Particular attention is directed to Figures 3, 5, and 9-11.

Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aesculap, DE 299 01 611 U1. The guide bodies 5 in the embodiment of Figure 3 taking on a more sheath-like form as in the embodiment of Figure 10 would have been obvious in order to provide a better guide track for the implant 2 and in order to reduce the possibility of tissue trauma.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,673

Art Unit: 3738

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 2002/0045904 A1 is believed to be the English language equivalent of the aforementioned DE 299 01 611 U1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2903. The supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached at (703) 308-2111. The receptionist's phone number is (703) 308-0858, and the main FAX numbers are (703) 305-3591, 3590.

dhw: D. Willse February 20, 2003 DAVE WILLSE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3738